



August 2022

The Basel Framework and Cryptoassets: Finishing Line?

The Digital Regulator



Table of Contents

Executive summary

2

The inexorable inclusion of cryptoasset exposures in the Basel Framework

Conclusion

7

Authors

Mattia Rattaggi External Regulatory Analyst METI Advisory AG

Yves Longchamp Head of Research SEBA Bank AG

Contact

research@seba.swiss



Executive summary

By issuing its second consultative document on the prudential treatment of cryptoasset exposures, and proposing standard text for inclusion in the Basel Framework, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) has approached the finishing line of its effort started in 2019 to include cryptoasset exposures in the global prudential regulatory framework applicable to banks.

The thinking of the BCBS has evolved over time, from (1) the early identification of a highrisk category of unbacked cryptoassets to be charged with the highest possible capital charge, to (2) a comprehensive framework characterised by

- (i) the distinction between four groups of cryptoassets
 - A. tokenised traditional assets;
 - B. non-algorithmic stablecoins; other cryptoassets:
 - C. meeting hedging criteria;
 - D. not meeting hedging criteria,
- (ii) qualifying conditions for each category,
- (iii) dedicated methodologies for calculating regulatory capital charges for market and credit risks for each category,
- (iv) the application of the harshest possible capital charge for exposures to cryptoassets C and D,
- (v) an approach to calculate the operational risk charge,
- (vi) the introduction of an infrastructure add-on for cryptoassets A and B,
- (vii) the introduction of an exposure limit for cryptoassets C and D,
- (viii) the possibility for supervisors to implement specific add-ons,
- (ix) the inclusion of cryptoasset exposures in the leverage ratio, liquidity ratios, and large exposure frameworks,
- (x) the limited recognition of cryptoasset A as high-quality liquid assets, and
- (xi) detailed disclosure guidance.

On balance, over time, the complexity of the regulatory framework has increased and has become more conservative. Some of the infrastructural/operational conservatism (see vi–viii above) may be gradually relaxed after enhanced confidence is gained over the distributed ledger technology. The attribution of the harshest possible capital charge to cryptoassets C and D needs further work, as it mixes different cryptoassets such as, unbacked layer 1/layer 2 cryptoassets and algorithmic stablecoins.

First, the BCBS should appreciate that the value of cryptoassets comes from the value of the protocol they carry – evidenced by its usage. Currently, the BCBS attributes the highest risk to 'unbacked' cryptoassets such as bitcoin and ether because it cannot identify an underlying traditional asset from which to derive a risk profile (let alone a (central) counterparty or intermediary). Second, and consequently, the BCBS should distinguish between unbacked cryptoassets and algorithmic stablecoins.

The second Basel Committee consultative document on the prudential treatment of cryptoasset exposures is very comprehensive and suggests that the 3-year long gestation may soon come to an end. Material amendments are needed but will only be possible on the back of enhanced confidence in the distributed ledger technology, and a full appreciation of the peculiar value and risk drivers of unbacked assets.

The inexorable inclusion of cryptoasset exposures in the Basel Framework

The <u>Basel Framework</u> is the global prudential regulatory framework applicable to banks that the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) has been developing since 1975. The BCBS has begun the work to include in the Basel Framework banks' cryptoasset exposures in 2019, when it issued a newsletter and a discussion paper. The triggers were the relative growth of cryptomarkets and the emergence of jurisdictions, such as Switzerland, characterised by initial exposures of banks to cryptoassets and related service providers. The BCBS issued a first consultative document on the prudential treatment of banks' cryptoasset exposures in 2021, and a second one on 30 June 2022, which is in consultation until 30 September 2022. In what follows, we review the work done so far by the BCBS to include cryptoasset exposures in the Basel Framework. We point out innovations and continuities (see also Table 1), and propose an assessment.

The Basel Framework

As a way of introduction, the Basel Framework consists of:

Three Pillars

- Formulaic, risk-based minimum capital requirements for market, credit, and operational risks (according to a menu of approaches), and a leverage ratio (as a backstop to riskbased capital requirements);
- 2. Supervisory review process, covering risk management and supervision, driving supplemental (capital) requirements and expectations regarding the management of interest rate risk in the banking book; and
- 3. Disclosure requirement, meant to foster market discipline.

2019 Newsletter

On 13 March 2019, the BCBS issued a <u>newsletter</u> in which it anticipated the continued growth of cryptoassets and the possibility that this development could increase the risks faced by banks, including those related to liquidity, credit, market, operational, money laundering, terrorist financing, legal, and reputation. The Committee formulated the expectation that banks authorised to acquire cryptoasset exposures, or provide related services, should, at a minimum:

- Perform proper due diligence of exposures to cryptoassets related to the mentioned risks;
- Adapt their governance, risk management, capital, and liquidity adequacy systems to ensure their robustness in view of the enhanced risks;
- Enhance transparency and disclosure; and
- Foster an appropriate supervisory dialogue about these new exposures.

From the perspective of the Basel Framework, the expectations laid out in the newsletter relate to Pillars 2 and 3. The Committee refrained from formulating Pillar 1 capital and liquidity charges for cryptoasset exposures, and from commenting on other elements such as leverage ratio, large exposures, and liquidity provisions.

The Digital Regulator August 2022

Table 1: The development of the Prudential Treatment of Banks' Cryptoasset Exposures

Basel Framework	March 2019	December 2019	June 2021	June 2022
Pillar 1	Too early to speficy Assessment ongoing		Group 1 cryptoassets: 1a: tokenised traditional assets 1b: non algorithmic stablecoins	Same
			1a Risk-weight: At least equivalent to those of traditional assets (market and credit risks)	Additional conditions
			1a: qualifying conditions	Group 1: Dedicated categorisation conditions when cryptoasset resides on a permissionless blockchain
			1b: qualifying conditions	Group 1b: Categorisation conditions (redemption and basis risk tests; exception when issuer is regulated entity)
			1b risk-weight: Dedicated guidance (market and credit risks)	Group 1 capital charge according to SSA, SA or Internal Models
			Group 1 cryptoassets: Specific add-on	Moved to Pillar 2 (supervisory discretion)
				Group 1 infrastructure add-om (2.5% of exposure value)
			Group 2 cryptoassets: Such as bitcoin, ether, utility tokens, DeFi tokens, and other network tokens, as well as algorithmic and crypto-backed stablecoins	Group 1 capital charge according to SSA, SA or Internal Models Moved to Pillar 2 (supervisory discretion) Group 1 infrastructure add-om (2.5% of exposure value) Recogniton of hedging function of certain Group 2 assets (creation of Group 2a and Group 2b asset categories)
		High risk cryptoassets(fully decentralised)1,250% Risk-weight	1,250% risk-weight for each asset, covering market and credit risksNo distinction between banking or trading books	 Capital requirements for Group 2a following SSA and SA approaches Capital requirements for Group2b at 1,250% risk-weight
		Full deduction for market risk and CVA Residual risk add-on	Rules for CCR credit risk for derivative exposures and for securities financing transactions and margin loans Capital requirements for CVA and CCR for all assets Gaussian Capital requirements for CVA and CCR for all assets Capital requirements for CVA and CCR for all assets Capital requirements for CVA and CCR for all assets	
		Conservative CCR rule No collateral function		olusion i
				Exposure limits for Group 2 (1% of Tier 1 capital)
			Pillar 1 operational risk add on	Delineation of operational risk vs market/credit risks, and calculation based on SA
Pillar 2	Expectations regarding: Due Diligence Risk management systems Capital and liquidity assessment processes Supervisory dialogue	Same	Additional guidance	Capital requirements for Group 2a following SSA and SA approaches Capital requirements for Group2b at 1,250% risk-weight Capital requirements for CVA and CCR for all assets Exposure limits for Group 2 (1% of Tier 1 capital) Delineation of operational risk vs market/credit risks, and calculation based on SA Group 1 assets: Specific add-on Detailed guidance
Pillar 3	Expectation for enhanced disclosures	Same	Additional guidance	Detailed guidance
Leverage ratio	Too early to specify	Inclusion	Extension of current frameworks to include cryptoasset exposures	Same
Liquidity ratios		No HQLA eligibility		More detailed liquidity rules Group 1a assets recognised as HQLA, under conditions
Large exposure		Inclusion		Same

Source: SEBA Bank, METI Advisory AG

2019 Discussion paper

Building on the analyses and concepts proposed in the newsletter, on 12 December 2019 the BCBS issued a <u>Discussion paper on Designing a prudential treatment for crypto-assets</u>, with the goal to engage with the industry in including banks' cryptoasset exposures in the Basel Framework. The view taken by the Committee was that the lack of standardisation, rapid growth, and high volatility of cryptoassets justify a conservative global prudential standard. The key innovation was the formulation of a Pillar 1 capital charge for exposures to cryptoassets considered high risk, and setting it at the most possible conservative level.

- High-risk cryptoassets The BCBS defined a category of 'high-risk' cryptoassets in terms of assets recorded on a distributed ledger technology (DLT), secured cryptographically, not issued by a jurisdictional authority of another identified issuer, having no intrinsic value, nor linked to or backed by assets with intrinsic value, and the holding of such assets not giving rise to contractual obligations between the holder and an identified issuer. The BCBS identified bitcoin and ether as such assets, which constituted over 80% of the market capitalisation of cryptoassets in 2019. The committee recognised the emergence of other types of cryptoassets such as tokenised assets or stablecoins, but took the view that these other cryptoassets warrant further assessment before specifying a prudential treatment.
- Harshest Pillar 1 charge The Committee proposed to apply the harshest possible Pillar 1 minimum capital requirement to banks' exposures to such high risk cryptoassets. This is done by applying a 1.250% risk-weight factor to the standard 8% of the original exposure. Holding USD 100 equivalent of bitcoin on the banking book would attract (100*8)/100)*(1'250/100), that is, USD 100 of core capital. This is the highest possible capital charge in traditional finance, and applied only to the worst externally rated securitisations. The holding of such exposure on the trading book would equally be most conservatively subject to the equivalent of full deduction treatment for market risk and credit valuation adjustment (CVA) risk, whilst exposures bearing residual risks would be subject to a relevant add-on.
- Other provisions Banks would not be permitted to use internally modelled approaches for any cryptoasset exposures. Cryptoassets would not be eligible to serve as financial collateral for the purpose of the credit risk mitigation framework, or as high-quality liquid assets (HQLA) for the purpose of the LCR and NSFR. Exposures to cryptoassets would be included in the leverage ratio calculation, and subject to the large exposure limits. The Committee referred to the expectations formulated in the March 2019 newsletter as far as the provisions of Pillars 2 and 3 are concerned.

2021 First consultation document

On 10 June 2021, the BCBS issued for consultation the document '<u>Prudential treatment of cryptoasset exposures</u>'. The key innovation was the specification of various types and categories of cryptoassets, beyond the high-risk or not-high-risk categories. The key continuity was the maintenance of the highest possible capital charge for banks' exposures to cryptoassets others than tokenised traditional assets and non-algorithmic stablecoins.

Three groups of cryptoassets

The Committee introduced the following categories:

Group 1 cryptoassets

- Group 1a: tokenised traditional assets
- Group 1b: non-algorithmic stablecoins

Group 2 cryptoassets

Capturing (all) other cryptoassets, such as bitcoin, ether, utility tokens, DeFi (Decentralised Finance) tokens, and other network tokens, as well as algorithmic and crypto-backed stablecoins.

Dedicated Pillar 1 market and credit risk charges

The committee proposed Pillar 1 formulaic minimum capital charges against market and credit risk raised by exposures to each group, and specified qualifying conditions.

Group 1a

The capital charge should be at least equivalent to those of the underlying traditional assets. The categorisation into Group 1a would be subject to a set of specific conditions that the cryptoasset must always meet cumulatively.

Group 1b

There would be a new, dedicated Pillar 1 guidance. Non-algorithmic stablecoins would only qualify for inclusion in Group 1 cryptoassets if they are redeemable for their underlying assets.

Group 1

All cryptoassets qualifying for Group 1 may be subject to a specific capital add-on.

Group 2

The capital charge would be calculated by applying a 1.250% risk weight to the standard 8% of the original exposure (see above). The risk-weighted assets would be calculated for each Group 2 cryptoasset separately. The risk weight would apply to the greater value between the absolute value of the aggregated long positions and the absolute value of the aggregated short positions. There would be no distinction between banking and trading book positions. The proposed treatment would capture both credit and market risks, and the CVA risk. The BCBS also specified rules to calculate counterparty credit risks (CCR) for derivative exposures having Group 2 cryptoassets as underlying assets, and proposed rules for securities financing transactions and margin loans involving these cryptoassets.

Operational risk charge and other guidance

The BCBS anticipated the need to supplement the Pillar 1 minimum charge with an operational risk add-on, as well as to provide additional guidance under Pillar 2 (supervisory review process) and Pillar 3 (disclosure), and to extend the current leverage ratio, large exposures, and liquidity ratio frameworks to cryptoassets.

2022 Second consultation document

On 30 June 2022, the <u>BCBS</u> published the '<u>Second consultation on the prudential treatment of cryptoasset exposures</u>'. The consultation paper amends and complements the first consultation document on several scores, whilst retaining the structure in 'Groups', and proposes for the first time specific standards text for inclusion in the Basel Framework. On balance, the complexity of the regulatory framework increases whilst the rules become more conservative. Key amendments and complements to the June 2021 document include:

Qualifying conditions for Group 1

The Committee solicits industry feedback on any modifications to the classification conditions that would be required to permit the inclusion in Group 1 of cryptoassets that use permissionless blockchains, and on the risk and ways to mitigate it that such modifications would raise.

Qualifying conditions for Group 1b

The document proposes to refine the categorisation conditions driving the inclusion of stablecoins in Group 1b. Stablecoins would qualify for inclusion in Group 1b only if they meet a:

- redemption risk test (demonstrating that the reserve assets are sufficient to enable the cryptoassets to be always redeemable) and
- basis risk test (aimed to ensure that the holder of a cryptoasset can sell it in the market for an amount that closely tracks the peg value, specified in terms of bps differences between the peg and the stablecoin market value).

Cryptoassets that meet all the classification conditions for inclusion in Group 1b, but only narrowly pass the basis risk test, would be subject to an add-on to risk-weighted assets.

The BCBS recognises that banks' exposures to stablecoins issued by regulated entities would generally be lower risk than those issued by unregulated entities, and should be subject to a capital treatment alternative to the one specified in terms of redemption and basis risk tests.

The Committee proposes to calculate the capital charges for Group 1 cryptoassets market risk according to three methodologies – Simplified Standardised Approach (SSA), Standard Approach (SA), and banks' Internal Models – approaches already in use for exposures to traditional assets.

Infrastructure add-on for Group 1

The Committee proposes to introduce an infrastructure add-on to risk-weighted assets that would apply to all Group 1 cryptoassets to cover the risk posed by the still novel DLT underlying infrastructure. The proposed calibration of the add-on corresponds to 2.5% of the exposure value.

Hedging function/New Group 2a category

The Committee is keen to recognise the hedging function of certain Group 2 cryptoassets, provided a set of criteria is met. Cryptoassets that meet the hedging criteria would be included in a new Group 2a category, with the remaining Group 2 cryptoassets classified as Group 2b.

The Committee would allow calculation of capital requirement for market and credit risks raised by Group 2a cryptoassets according to the SSA and SA approaches – approaches already in use for exposures to traditional assets. The use of banks' internal models would not be allowed. These approaches would retain a conservative 100% capital charge consistent with the 1.250 risk weight.

Capital requirements for Group 2b cryptoasset would continue to be determined by applying a risk weight of 1.250% to the standard 8% of the original exposure. The risk-weighted assets would be calculated for each Group 2 cryptoasset separately. The 1.250% risk weight would apply to the greater value between the absolute value of the aggregated long positions and the absolute value of the aggregated short positions to which a bank is exposed. There is no distinction between banking and trading book positions. The proposed treatment would capture both credit and market risks.

CVA and CRR

The Committee proposes dedicated CVA and CCR minimum capital requirements for all cryptoassets.

Exposure limits for Group 2

The BCBS proposes to introduce a new exposure limit for all Group 2 cryptoassets outside of the large exposure rules. The Group 2 exposure limit is provisionally set at 1% of Tier 1 capital and applies jointly to all Group 2 cryptoassets on gross exposures with no netting or recognition of diversification benefits.

Clarification on operational risk

The capital charge for operational risk raised by cryptoasset activities is calculated by following the SA – an income-based approach already in use for exposures to traditional assets. The Committee has updated the proposal relating to operational risk and resilience to provide a clearer delineation between risks that would be covered by the operational risk framework versus those that should be captured in credit and market risk frameworks. It has also ventured into proposing how banks' risk management processes can address operational risks relating to cryptoassets and how the supervisory review process can ensure that banks appropriately capture cryptoasset risk.

More detailed liquidity rules

The Committee has also elaborated the LCR and NSFR rules, making the approach more comprehensive and consistent, depending on whether cryptoholdings are Group 1a (tokenised claim on banks), Group 1b (stablecoins), or Group 2 (other cryptoassets). To a limited extent, Group 1a cryptoassets may be considered as HQLA.

Accounting aspects

The Committee is proposing to delink the prudential treatment of cryptoasset exposures from the intangible accounting classification.

Leverage ratio and large exposures

For the sake of leverage ratio calculations, cryptoassets are included in the exposure measure according to their value for financial reporting purposes. Regarding large exposures, the treatment of cryptoassets follows the same principles applicable to other exposures.

Pillar 2 and 3

The BCBS has developed, in great detail, the Pillar 2 language (covering risk management and supervisory review aspects) and Pillar 3 guidance (disclosure requirements).

Conclusion

The BCBS has been working since 2019 to include banks' cryptoasset exposures in the Basel Framework, showing its belief in the ineluctability of cryptoassets and considerable pragmatism in including in the evolving framework new forms of cryptoassets such as DeFi assets and stablecoins. The result is a complex rulebook that has become more conservative over time. It is aimed to bring, to the maximum extent, cryptoassets under the paradigm, and calculating approaches applicable to traditional assets, making the riskiness of cryptoassets ultimately a function of the existence of a direct or indirect link of the cryptoasset to traditional assets and related identifiable (central) issuer or intermediary and risk profile. Once the BCBS fully appreciates that the value of the cryptoassets – certainly for those 'unbacked' cryptoassets – derives from the value of the functionality or protocol they carry, in turn determining their demand or usage, it may have to revisit and amend the cryptoasset exposures provision of the agreed Basel Framework, and also distinguish layer 1/layer 2 unbacked cryptoassets from algorithmic stablecoins.

Disclaimer

This document has been prepared by SEBA Bank AG ("SEBA") in Switzerland. SEBA is a Swiss bank and securities dealer with its Head Office and legal domicile in Switzerland. It is authorized and regulated by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA). This document is published solely for information purposes; it is not an advertisement nor is it a solicitation or an offer to buy or sell any financial investment or to participate in any particular investment strategy. It is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or other jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or would subject SEBA to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction.

No representation or warranty, either express or implied, is provided in relation to the accuracy, completeness or reliability of the information contained in this document, except with respect to information concerning SEBA. The information is not intended to be a complete statement or summary of the financial investments, markets or developments referred to in the document. SEBA does not undertake to update or keep current the information. Any statements contained in this document attributed to a third party represent SEBA's interpretation of the data, information and/or opinions provided by that third party either publicly or through a subscription service, and such use and interpretation have not been reviewed by the third party.

Any prices stated in this document are for information purposes only and do not represent valuations for individual investments. There is no representation that any transaction can or could have been effected at those prices, and any prices do not necessarily reflect SEBA's internal books and records or theoretical model-based valuations and may be based on certain assumptions. Different assumptions by SEBA or any other source may yield substantially different results.

Nothing in this document constitutes a representation that any investment strategy or investment is suitable or appropriate to an investor's individual circumstances or otherwise constitutes a personal recommendation. Investments involve risks, and investors should exercise prudence and their own judgment in making their investment decisions. Financial investments described in the document may not be eligible for sale in all jurisdictions or to certain categories of investors. Certain services and products are subject to legal restrictions and cannot be offered on an unrestricted basis to certain investors. Recipients are therefore asked to consult the restrictions relating to investments, products or services for further information. Furthermore, recipients may consult their legal/tax advisors should they require any clarifications. SEBA and any of its directors or employees may be entitled at any time to hold long or short positions in investments, carry out transactions involving relevant investments in the capacity of principal or agent, or provide any other services or have officers, who serve as directors, either to/for the issuer, the investment itself or to/for any company commercially or financially affiliated to such investment.

At any time, investment decisions (including whether to buy, sell or hold investments) made by SEBA and its employees may differ from or be contrary to the opinions expressed in SEBA research publications.

Some investments may not be readily realizable since the market is illiquid and therefore valuing the investment and identifying the risk to which you are exposed may be difficult to quantify. Investing in digital assets including crypto assets as well as in futures and options is not suitable for every investor as there is a substantial risk of loss, and losses in excess of an initial investment may under certain circumstances occur. The value of any investment or income may go down as well as up, and investors may not get back the full amount invested. Past performance of an investment is no guarantee for its future performance. Additional information will be made available upon request. Some investments may be subject to sudden and large falls in value and on realization you may receive back less than you invested or may be required to pay more. Changes in foreign exchange rates may have an adverse effect on the price, value or income of an investment. Tax treatment depends on the individual circumstances and may be subject to change in the future.

SEBA does not provide legal or tax advice and makes no representations as to the tax treatment of assets or the investment returns thereon both in general or with reference to specific investor's circumstances and needs. We are of necessity unable to take into account the particular investment objectives, financial situation and needs of individual investors and we would recommend that you take financial and/or tax advice as to the implications (including tax) prior to investing. Neither SEBA nor any of its directors, employees or agents accepts any liability for any loss (including investment loss) or damage arising out of the use of all or any of the Information provided in the document.

This document may not be reproduced or copies circulated without prior authority of SEBA. Unless otherwise agreed in writing SEBA expressly prohibits the distribution and transfer of this document to third parties for any reason. SEBA accepts no liability whatsoever for any claims or lawsuits from any third parties arising from the use or distribution of this document.

Research will initiate, update and cease coverage solely at the discretion of SEBA. The information contained in this document is based on numerous assumptions. Different assumptions could result in materially different results. SEBA may use research input provided by analysts employed by its affliate B&B Analytics Private Limited, Mumbai. The analyst(s) responsible for the preparation of this document may interact with trading desk personnel, sales personnel and other parties for the purpose of gathering, applying and interpreting market information. The compensation of the analyst who prepared this document is determined exclusively by SEBA.

Austria: SEBA is not licensed to conduct banking and financial activities in Austria nor is SEBA supervised by the Austrian Financial Market Authority (Finanzmarktaufsicht), to which this document has not been submitted for approval. France: SEBA is not licensed to conduct banking and financial activities in France nor is SEBA supervised by French banking and financial authorities. Italy: SEBA is not licensed to conduct banking and financial activities in Italy nor is SEBA supervised by the Bank of Italy (Banca d'Italia) and the Italian Financial Markets Supervisory Authority (CONSOB - Commissione Nazionale per le Società e la Borsa), to which this document has not been submitted for approval. Germany: SEBA is not licensed to conduct banking and financial activities in Germany nor is SEBA supervised by the German Federal Financial Services Supervisory Authority (Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht), to which this document has not been submitted for approval. Hong-Kong: SEBA is not licensed to conduct banking and financial activities in Hong-Kong nor is SEBA supervised by banking and financial authorities in Hong-Kong, to which this document has not been submitted for approval. This document is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in Hong-Kong where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or would subject SEBA to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction. This document is under no circumstances directed to, or intended for distribution, publication to or use by, persons who are not "professional investors" within the meaning of the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Chapter 571 of the Laws of Hong Kong) and any rules made thereunder (the "SFO"). Netherlands: This publication has been produced by SEBA, which is not authorised to provide regulated services in the Netherlands. Portugal: SEBA is not licensed to conduct banking and financial activities in Portugal nor is SEBA supervised by the Portuguese regulators Bank of Portugal "Banco de Portugal" and Portuguese Securities Exchange Commission "Comissão do Mercado de Valores Mobiliarios". Singapore: SEBA is not licensed to conduct banking and financial activities in Singapore nor is SEBA supervised by banking and financial authorities in Singapore, to which this document has not been submitted for approval. This document was provided to you as a result of a request received by SEBA from you and/or persons entitled to make the request on your behalf. Should you have received the document erroneously, SEBA asks that you kindly destroy/delete it and inform SEBA immediately. This document is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in Singapore where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or would subject SEBA to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction. This document is under no circumstances directed to, or intended for distribution, pubcation to or use by, persons who are not accredited investors, expert investors or institutional investors as declined in section 4A of the Securities and Futures Act (Cap. 289 of Singapore) ("SFA"). UK: This document has been prepared by SEBA Bank AG ("SEBA") in Switzerland. SEBA is a Swiss bank and securities dealer with its head offce and legal domicile in Switzerland. It is authorized and regulated by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA). This document is for your information only and is not intended as an offer, or a solicitation of an offer, to buy or sell any investment or other specific product.

SEBA is not an authorised person for purposes of the Financial Services and Markets Act (FSMA), and accordingly, any information if deemed a financial promotion is provided only to persons in the UK reasonably believed to be of a kind to whom promotions may be communicated by an unauthorised person pursuant to an exemption under the FSMA (Financial Promotion) Order 2005 (the "FPO"). Such persons include: (a) persons having professional experience in matters relating to investments ("Investment Professionals") and (b) high net worth bodies corporate, partnerships, unincorporated associations, trusts, etc. falling within Article 49 of the FPO ("High Net Worth Businesses"). High Net Worth Businesses include: (i) a corporation which has called-up share capital or net assets of at least GBP 5 million or is a member of a group in which includes a company with called-up share capital or net assets of at least GBP 5 million (but where the corporation has more than 20 shareholders or it is a subsidiary of a company with more than 20 shareholders, the GBP 5 million share capital / net assets requirement is reduced to GBP 500,000); (ii) a partnership or unincorporated association with net assets of at least GBP 5 million and (iii) a trustee of a trust which has had gross assets (i.e. total assets held before deduction of any liabilities) of at least GBP 10 million at any time within the year preceding the promotion. Any financial promotion in formation is available only to such persons, and persons of any other description in the UK may not rely on the information in it. Most of the protections provided by the UK regulatory system, and compensation under the UK Financial Services Compensation Scheme, will not be available.

© SEBA Bank AG, Kolinplatz 15, 6300 Zug. 2022. All rights reserved.



